STEREOSPECIFIC 13 C- 31 P COUPLING CONSTANTS IN PHOSPHETANE OXIDES AND PHOSPHETANIUM SALTS

George A. Gray

Oregon Graduate Center, Beaverton, **Oregon 97005**

Sheldon E. Cremer

Department of Chemistry, Marquette University, Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53233

(Received in USA 24 June 1971; received in UK 12 July 1971)

As a part of a systematic investigation of organophosphorus compounds using 13 C nmr we have been interested in the potential offered by the four-membered phosphorus heterocycles, the phosphetanes. The observed chemical shifts and spin couplings provide severe restraints on any attempted prescription for the electronic structure and can also contribute significant information concerning molecular structure. As indication of the latter we now report the stereospecific nature of two 13 C- 31 P nuclear spin couplings in phosphetane oxide and phosphetanium salt cis and trans pairs.

Overall, the stereospecificity in one-bond coupling is fairly independent of the nature of the other exocyclic phosphorus substituent , for both aliphatic and aromatic carbons. The only case to exhibit different behavior is that for the coupling to the phenyl carbon in $(2)(1)$. Note that \widehat{O} has identical phosphorus substituents but different one-bond 13 C- 31 P couplings. These methyl groups are rendered chemically non-equivalent in a formal sense by the cis-trans nature with respect to the 3-methyl group. Howwer, it is the nonplenarity of the phosphetane ring which confers pseudoxial or pseudoequatorial properties and produces unequal chemical shifts due to the different steric interactions with the 2- and 4-carbon methyl groups. Either this disparity in steric interaction causes enough difference in the electronic nature of the methyl carbon sufficient to cause the difference in coupling, or the phosphorus contribution to the two bonding orbitals to the exocyclic methyls must be different. The overall uniformity in the stereospecificity of the one-bond couplings tends to rule out differential steric effects as the sole cause of the stereospecificity.

Analogous stereospecificities have been noted for 13 C-¹H couplings in bicyclobutane (1)

and 3-phenyl-1-azabicyclo[1,1,0]butane (2), and as well as 13 C- 19 F couplings in some methyl substituted 2,2-difluoronorbornanes (3). Although dipole contributions may be important in 13 C- 19 F couplings (4), approximate M.O. methods based solely on the Fermi contact contribution have proved successful in calculation of $^{13}C^{-13}$ C and 13 C-H couplings (5a-f) and have been able to account for the stereospecificity of the 13 C- 1 coupling in bicyclobutane (5f). Similar calculations of 13 C- 31 P couplings (6) in the series (EtO)₂P(0)CH₂X showed that the experimental couplings were about twice as sensitive to substituents as was the s-orbital bond order between the coupled atoms. However, 13 c- 13 c and 13 c- 11 couplings closely parallel the calculated sorbital bond order for structural rather than substituent changes. If this pattern holds for 13 C- 31 P couplings involving P(IV), the greater exocyclic coupling for the pseudoaxial bond predicts a corresponding greater s-orbital bond order for this bond. This conclusion is on safest grounds in $\sqrt{2}$ where the exocyclic groups are identical.

No large one-bond coupling stereospecificity is present in the larger ring compounds cisand trans-1,2,5-trimethyl-3-phospholene-1-oxide (cis- 58.8 Hz, trans- 59.7 Hz). However, very recently a cis-trans stereospecific 13 C- 31 P coupling has been reported for the methyl carbon on phosphorus in a six-membered ring tert-butyl-substituted methyl phosphonite (7). Here phosphorus is P(III) and one of the exocyclic substituents is a lone pair.

Stereospecific long-range $^{13}P^{-1}$ couplings have been used in the past to give relative spatial orientation (18a-g). In these cases, however, one of the coupled atoms assumes various possible orientations leading to a variation in coupling with, e.g. dihedral angle. Very little data, if any, has been presented for an effect like that illustrated in Table I for the three bond ¹³C-³¹P coupling in cis-trans pairs. Here the relative orientation of the coupled atoms remains fixed while the exocyclic substituents are switched, resulting in a sometimes drastic change in coupling. The disparity in coupling within isomer pairs seema directly related to the degree of difference of the exocyclic substituents. Though-space steric or electronic effects seem unlikely as direct causes of the stereospecificity since the 3-methyl carbon and exocyclic group cis to it are well separated.

The stereospecific exocyclic 13 C- 31 P couplings in phosphetane oxides or phosphetanium salts allows facile determination of cis-trans isomer ratios in mixtures when both isomers are present in significant amounts or isolable separately. There is no difficulty in assignment of resonances as there often is in ¹H spectra (at 60 MHz) because of the large range of ¹³C shifts

and lack of overlapping peaks. This method neatly complements the use of two-bond $31p-1_H$ couplings (8d) to determine cis-trans ratios in the related P(III) phosphetane compounds which has not been generally applicable in their oxides or salts. The technique will be especially useful in making isomer assignments in salts and oxides which do not follow from synthetic analogy or cannot be related to the few compounds whose assignment was made by x-ray study.

References

(1) K. B. Wiberg, G. M. Lampman, R. P. Giula, D. S. Connor, P. Schertler, and J. Lavanish, Tetrahedron, 21, 2749 (1965).

(2) A. G. Horfmann and D. A. Robertson, $J.$ Amer. Chem. Soc., 89, 5974 (1967).

(3) J. B. Grutzner, M. Jantelat, J. B. Dence, R. A. Smith, and J. D. Roberts, ibid., 92, 7107 (1970).

(4) A. C. Blizzard and D. P. Santry, Chem. Comm.. 87 (1970).

(5) (a)-(e) G. E. Maciel, J. W. McIver, Jr., N. S. Ostlund and J. A. Pople, J. Amer. Chem. Sot., 22, 1, 11, 4151, 4497, 4506 (1970); (f) P. D. Ellis and G. E. Maciel, ibid., 22, 5829 (1970). (6) G. A. Gray, ibid., 23, 2132 (1971).

(7) W. G. Bentrude, K. C. Yee, R. D. Bertrand and D. M. Grant, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 93, 797 (1971).

(8) (a) J. P. Albrand, D. Gagnaire, and J. B. Robert, Chem. Comm., 1469 (1968); (b) L. D. Hall and R. B. Malcomb, Chem. and Ind., 92 (1968); (c) G. Mavel, J. Chim. Phys., 65, 1692 (1968); (d) J. P. Albrand, D. Gagnaire, J. Martin, and J. B. Robert, Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr., 40 (1969); (e) L. D. Quin and T. P. Barket, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 92, 4303 (1970); (f) W. G. Bentrude and J. H. Hargis, ibid., 92, 7136 (1970); (g) J. P. Albrand, D. Gagnaire, M. Picard and J. B. Robert, Tetrahedron Lett,, 4593 (1970).